

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed January 14, 2008 the Examiner noted that claims 1-10 were pending and rejected claims 1-10. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended, no claims have been canceled, claims 11 and 12 have been added, and, thus, in view of the foregoing claims 1-12 remain pending for reconsideration which is requested. No new matter has been added. The Examiner's rejections are traversed below.

PRIORITY

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Office asserts that the Applicant must provide a certified English language translation of the priority document. The Office cites 37 CFR 41.154(b) and 37 CFR 41.202(e). The cited rules apply only to actions before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Further, the Applicant need only provide the certified English language translation of the priority document if the Applicant wishes to rely on the foreign priority document to render a cited reference as non-prior art by virtue of a later date of the cited reference. The Applicant has yet to assert any reference cited by the Office as non-prior art based on its publication date being later than the priority date of the priority document. Therefore, the Applicant need not provide the certified English translation of the priority document.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Office asserts that the Applicant must provide English language translations of cited documents within the documents. MPEP § 609.01(b)(3) states "for non-English documents that are cited, the following must be provided: (a) A concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56 (c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, unless a complete translation is provided; and/or (b) A written English language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, if it is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56 (c). After the examiner reviews the IDS for compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98, the examiner should: (See MPEP § 609.05).

It is respectfully submitted that the Applicant has complied with MPEP § 609.01(B)(3)(a) by providing a concise explanation of the document within the filed Information Disclosure Statements and the references should therefore be considered.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the Office objects to

the use of functional language in a means-plus-function claim. While the Applicant need not amend the claims for reasons of patentability, the Applicant does amend the claims for purposes of more properly defining the claim.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over DiMarco, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0177027 in view of Zhao, U.S. Patent No. 2005/0228901. The Applicant respectfully disagrees and traverses the rejection with an argument and amendment. DiMarco discusses a system and method for providing information on possible career candidates to a corporation.

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Office asserts that DiMarco ¶ 0105 disclose "a memorizing means that memorizes said password for connecting to said information server and said address information for said personal information that was approved by said information server at the time when each of said users of said information communication terminals registered his/her personal information in said information server."

In contrast, DiMarco ¶ 0105 discusses the benefits of informal contact between a user and HR or the benefits of diversity in the work place. The cited paragraph does not discuss the memorizing of a password.

However, the Applicant acknowledges that DiMarco

discusses user data stored in a database and that a password is captured during registration. But, the feature of the claim in question requires the password to be memorized on the information communications terminals, not the database of the information server. DiMarco teaches away from the information communication terminals memorizing the password at ¶ 0099 which states in part "[d]ata captured during registration is automatically displayed to avoid having to re-enter the data. Date of Birth is used as an identifier **during the password reset process if a user forgets their password.**" (Emphasis added) If the user can forget his or her password, then it was not memorized by the information communication terminals. Likewise, in claim 6, the prior art fails to disclose "memorizing said different individual address information of said plural personal information, by each of said information communication terminals."

The Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite "a transmitting means for transmitting from a information communication terminal of said information communication terminals to an other information communication terminal of said information communication, said address information and said password; a receiving means for receiving on the other information communication terminal the transmitted said address information and said password from the information communication terminal; and an obtaining means for obtaining from said information server by said other information communication

terminal, personal information by providing the information server the received said information address and said password." Neither, DiMarco or Zhao discloses transmitting from one information communication terminal to another a password and address information. In DiMarco, the data is not transmitted, but after a user enters his or her registration, representatives of the company searches for matching profiles (See DiMarco ¶ 0104 "talent search functions"). Searching for the information is different than transmitting address and password to the other information communications terminal. Further, DiMarco ¶ 0105 discusses sending an email, with a link, to a hiring manager or career coach. Emails are not sent from one information communications terminal to another, but from one email client to an email inbox. In DiMarco, the further action of accessing the email account is required to retrieve a link. An email inbox is not an information communications terminal as it requires further action of the user. Likewise, claim 6 does not disclose "informing another user about said password and said address information, when a user of one of said information communication terminals desires to offer some personal information to another user of one of said information communication terminals."

For at least the reasons stated above, DiMarco and Zhao, taken separately or in combination, fail to render obvious the features of claims 1 and 6 and the claims dependent therefrom.

Claims 4 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over DiMarco in view of Zhao in further view of PR Newswire, *Wireless World 2001 Announces First Conference with Wireless LAN Networking*. PR Newswire adds nothing to the deficiencies of DiMarco and Zhao as applied to the independent claims. Therefore, DiMarco, Zhao and PR Newswire, taken separately or in combination, fail to render obvious the features of claims 4 and 9.

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

NEW CLAIMS

Claims 11 and 12 are new. Support for claim 11 is found in claim 1 and Fig. 1 of the Application. Support for claim 12 is found in claim 4 and the paragraph beginning at page 10, line 13 of the Specification. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added by the additions of claims 11 and 12. The prior art fails to disclose the wireless information communications terminal transmits directly to the other wireless information communications terminal the address of the registered contact information of the entity and the corresponding password, the other wireless information communications terminal receives the transmitted address of the registered contact information of the entity and the corresponding password and requests the registered contact information of the entity from the information server by providing the information server the address of the registered contact information of the entity and the

corresponding password received from the wireless information communications terminal, wherein, the wireless information communications terminal transmits directly to the other wireless information communications terminal via a radio or infrared signal.


SUMMARY

It is submitted that the claims satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 112 and 103. It is also submitted that claims 1-12 continue to be allowable. The claims are therefore in a condition suitable for allowance. An early Notice of Allowance is requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON



James J. Livingston, Jr.
Reg. No. 55,394
209 Madison St., Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone (703) 521-2297
Telefax (703) 685-0573
(703) 979-4709

JJL/lrs